

Bursts from the Very Early Universe

with Joe Silk, Oxford

Our direct observation of the universe is almost
always via the **photon**

(Exceptions: Cosmic rays, Solar neutrinos,
SN 1987a neutrinos)

For the early universe **only** photons.

The earliest we can go with the photon is
 $t=400\,000$ years (CMB). Before that photons
cannot fly freely and are stopped.

We hope to see **thermal** relics from earlier....

But

What if there were bursts of weakly interacting particles?

They are plenty of bursts in the nearby universe, SN's, Gamma ray, Hi E photons...

Recall proposal to measure acceleration parameter q with neutrino burst

Different ν types with mass, time separated pulses, hopefully

Time Delay

$$\Delta t \approx \frac{z}{H} \left[1 - \frac{3+q}{2}z + \dots \right] \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{m_1^2}{P_1^2(\text{now})} - \frac{m_2^2}{P_2^2(\text{now})} \right]$$

Neutrinos and Beyond

With **neutrinos** can get to seconds/msecs, with **WIMPs** to $\sim 10^{-11}$ s,....with **gravitons** to Planck time (10^{-43} s)

There are an enormous number of independent regions of spacetime on our past light cone—perhaps anything could have happened occassionally...

Could we potentially “see” the **transplanckian** epoch, the creation of “**baby universes**”, tunnelling between configurations of the “**landscape**” ... If **yes** these happenings become more than merely metaphysical...

So let's try to consider **phenomology** of what bursts could look like to us

Bizarre Feature/Difficulty: “**Events**” that **Last** a long time

Red shift stretches all time scales

Great redshift: at $T=1$ MeV, $z \sim 10^{10}$

Amusing Thought: “1 msec burst” will last a year!

Maybe your detector is **not** drifting.....

Important point:

Length of burst not expected to increase indefinitely with red shift.

Natural timescale for burst is Hubble parameter–shortening at early times.

One finds, after redshift to present,

$$\tau_{burst} \sim 9 \times (10^9 \text{ sec})(t_{em}/s)^{1/2} s$$

About a year at the QCD phase transition,
 $10^{-12} s$ at the Planck time.

Flux dilution:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Number crossing unit area} &\approx N \cdot \frac{1}{4\pi} \left(\frac{1}{3t_{\text{now}}} \right)^2 \\ &\sim N \cdot 6 \times 10^{-59} / \text{cm}^2 \end{aligned}$$

N=initial number of particles in burst

Interesting: stops decreasing at high z

“Distance” to BB is finite

Size/ Present Energy Flux

Seemingly **biggest** possible burst is energy contained in a causally connected region (“within the horizon”) at the time of emission. Gets small at very early times.

$$E_{horizon}^{now}/cm^2 = 3 \times 10^3 (t_{em}/s)^{3/2} \text{ eV}/cm^2$$

Enormous number of causally independent regions—tends to compensate small energy and small burst probability.

\mathcal{P} = probability of a burst per unit 4-volume at emission time t_{em}

Obtain

$$d(\text{energy flux})_{now} = d(\text{energy flux})_{cmb} \mathcal{P} \frac{1}{2} \frac{dt_{em}}{t_{em}}$$

Leads to “**Olbers paradox**”? With \mathcal{P} constant
 $\sim -\ln t_{em}$

Finally a curious new issue raised by all this....

Can there be an **arbitrarily** weakly interacting particle???

Why: It turns out, as would be expected, that a graviton, $\sigma \sim G^2 S^2$ can just reach us from the planck time $1/m_{pl} = \sqrt{G}$.

So if we want to see the **transplanckian** or quantum gravity epoch, let's just invent a particle more weakly interacting than the graviton.

That was easy.... **BUT Wrong!!**

In GR the "charge" is the energy. All particles are universally coupled to it—all particles have energy.

No particle can interact more weakly than the graviton!!

Hence **cannot** see the transplanckian or quantum gravity epoch.

(A possible way out suggested by V. Zakharov: A string could be more weakly interacting than its constituents. Maybe a kind of shielding a la antigravity is possible.)

PETER!!

There's still an awful lot for us to think about.